



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,797	01/23/2002	Michael Luders	TRW(REPA)6027	TRW(REPA)6027 9277	
26294 _ 7	590 12/30/2003	EXAMINER			
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			SPISICH, GEORGE D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3616		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		
Application No.		$\langle M \rangle$
10/054,797	LUDERS ET AL.	\mathcal{I}
Examiner	Art Unit	
George D. Spisich	3616	
pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress
o avoid abandonment of this appli : (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reich places the appl	eply to a ication in
REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
e of the final rejection.		
r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropria	See MPEP
ned statutory period for reply originally set in months after the mailing date of the final rej	the final Office action; o ection, even if timely filed	or (2) as set forth in d, may reduce any
d because:		
rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below)	;
e below);		
on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected cla	ims.
•		
jection(s):		
uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment
for reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does N	IOT place the
because it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which w	ere newly
		d and an
vs:		
approved or b) \square disapproved by	the Examiner.	
	Examiner George D. Spisich ACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO avoid abandonment of this application and (with appeal fee); or (3) a time peal (with appeal fee); or (4) a time peal fee); or (5) a time peal fee); or (6) a time peal fee); or (7) a time peal fee); or (8) a time peal fee); or (9) a time peal fee)	Examiner George D. Spisich ACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL avoid abandonment of this application. A proper received in a timely filed amendment which places the application (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whicher a than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Indeed the date of the final office action; or months after the mailing date of the final office action; or months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed in the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate end statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed in the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below); In the consideration and/or search (see NOTE below) are below are below and the consideration and are the considered but does Note the consideration and are the considered but does Note the considered but do

PAUL N. DICKSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

George Spisich Patent Examiner Art Unit 3616

10. Other: __

Coninuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/054,797



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition of the structure "coupled to the side wall" in new claims 6 and 7 would require further consideration and/or search. The addition of claims 6 and 7 without the cancellation of a corresponding number of rejected claims is not allowed.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to Applicant's argument that the unfolding of the sidewall of Hill ('696) does not sever the connection of the front wall and back wall, Examiner disagrees with this argument. Examiners maintains the rejection made in the Final Rejection with respect to claims 1 and 4 since the unfolding of the side walls of Hill is essential to and initiates the severing of the connection. There is no structure claimed in claims 1 and 4 not present in Hill and therefore Hill meets all the structural limitaions of claims 1 and 4.